## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

## ORDER APPROVING TRUSTEE'S MOTION AND MEMORANDUM TO AFFIRM HIS DETERMINATIONS DENYING CLAIMS OF CLAIMANTS HOLDING INTERESTS IN CHALEK ASSOCIATES LLC, CHAITMAN/SCHWEBEL LLC, FGLS <u>EQUITY LLC</u>, LARSCO INVESTMENTS LLC AND KUNTZMAN FAMILY LLC

Upon consideration of the Trustee's Motion and Memorandum to Affirm His Determination Denying Claims of Claimants Holding Interests in Chalek Associates LLC, Chaitman/Schwebel LLC, FGLS Equity LLC, Larsco Investments LLC, and Kuntzman Family LLC (the "Motion"), dated August 16, 2016 (ECF No. 13885), filed by Irving H. Picard, as trustee ("Trustee") for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa *et seq.* ("SIPA"), and the substantively consolidated chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff"), and it appearing that due and proper notice of the Motion and the relief requested therein have been given, and no other or further notice needs to be given; and that no objection, responsive pleading, or request for a hearing was made or filed with respect to the Motion; and the Trustee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

08-01789-cgm Doc 14225 Filed 10/04/16 Entered 10/04/16 09:59:02 Main Document

having filed a certificate of no objection representing that no objection has been received and

that no party has indicated to the Trustee that it intends to oppose the relief requested in the

Motion; and a hearing having been held on the Motion on September 28, 2016; and the Court

having reviewed the Motion, the Declarations of Stephanie Ackerman and Vineet Sehgal, the

objection to claims determinations, and the record in this case; and the Court having determined

that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted

herein, and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY:

**ORDERED**, that the relief requested in the Motion is hereby granted to the extent

set forth herein solely on the grounds that the Objecting Claimants are not "customers" as such

term is used at SIPA § 78*lll*(2); and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the Trustee's denial of the customer claims listed on the Exhibit

2 annexed to the supporting Declaration of Vineet Sehgal, a copy of which Exhibit is attached

hereto as Exhibit A, is affirmed, and such claims are disallowed; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the objections to the Trustee's determinations listed on the

Exhibit 2 annexed to the supporting Declaration of Vineet Sehgal, a copy of which Exhibit is

attached hereto as Exhibit A, are overruled; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all

matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: New York, New York

October <u>4<sup>th</sup></u>, 2016

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN

HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE